

ICG Call #17 – Wednesday, 27 May 2015 – 05:00-06:00 UTC

Chat Transcript

Keith ccNSO: (5/27/2015 00:52) Hi all

Keith ccNSO: (00:52) Josh can you enable me to use computer mic please?

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:53) Hello everyone

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:53) hi Keith

Keith ccNSO: (00:53) Hi Mohamed - hope you are well

Mike Brennan: (00:54) Hello Keith I just enabled your mic, please double check it's working properly

RussMundy: (00:57) HI - I've dialed in to the conf bridge but it's very quiet, should I be hearing something?

Paul Wilson: (00:58) It is silent.

RussMundy: (00:58) okay, thanks Paul

RussMundy: (00:59) now I'm hearing this

Michael Niebel: (00:59) hear you

Paul Wilson: (00:59) Right now I can only connect to the bridge by phone. I should be able to connect using my computer's audio, right?

Michael Niebel: (01:00) yes

RussMundy: (01:00) yes

Jandyr Santos Jr: (01:00) it is silent for me too

Lynn St.Amour: (01:00) @Paul, I have the same problem.

Paul Wilson: (01:00) Strange.

Paul Wilson: (01:00) OK, hope we can get some help from the secretariat.

Paul Wilson: (01:01) i still hear only silence.

Jandyr Santos Jr: (01:01) Now I can hear

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (01:01) good morning

Jennifer Chung: (01:01) @ All - unfortunately due to technical difficulties as Josh just explained, we will only have the English phone bridge and Adobe connect for this call

RussMundy: (01:01) I'm able to hear the english briage on my computer speakers

Narelle Clark: (01:01) I hear nothing.

Paul Wilson: (01:02) i hear nothing. reconnecting.

Lynn St.Amour: (01:02) I am going to reconnect

Mary Uduma: (01:02) Good AM all

Jennifer Chung: (01:02) translated transcripts of the call will be available at a later date on the ICG web site call archives here <https://www.ianacg.org/coordination-group/icg-archives/>

Paul Wilson: (01:04) I am stil unable to connect my audio :-{

Keith ccNSO: (01:05) Still uploading

Jennifer Chung: (01:05) @All - there is a bit of a delay right now with the document

Lynn St.Amour: (01:05) @Paul, I can now hear but am also unable to use my computer audio

RussMundy: (01:05) unable to see minutes in adobe

Jennifer Chung: (01:05) apologies we are trying to get this projected as soon as possible

Paul Wilson: (01:05) I made some small suggested changes. with those changes accepted, I approve the minutes.

Paul Wilson: (01:06) I can hear as well.

Jennifer Chung: (01:06) @Paul - this version is not the one with your mark up - is that available on dropbox, didn't see the attachment in your latest email

Paul Wilson: (01:06) I tried to connect by phone, but I do not seem to have the conference ID required by Adigo.

Paul Wilson: (01:07) mark up was added to the v4 version on dropbox.

Josh Baulch: (01:08) @ paul - we only have English available at the moment due to technical issues with the phone provider

Narelle Clark: (01:08) still having audio problems via computer and am dialing in

Jari Arkko: (01:09) I joined a bit late - sorry about that. On the call now.

Xiaodong Lee: (01:10) bad audio quality

Jennifer Chung: (01:10) @Wolf - your mike has been enabled

Jennifer Chung: (01:10) you should be able to speak

Russ Housley: (01:10) I could not hear him either

Lynn St.Amour: (01:11) I support sending a letter to ICANN - in case there are some specific implementation details they might see. Better to be thorough.

Keith ccNSO: (01:11) I have no objection to this going to ICANN

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (01:12) sorry, didn't you hear me?

Kuo Wu: (01:12) Sorry to be late.

Mary Uduma: (01:12) +1 Paul

Keith ccNSO: (01:12) Wolf - no there was no audio from you

Josh Baulch: (01:12) @ xiaodong - I had to mute you. If you would like to speak. . please select *7 to unmute

Jari Arkko: (01:12) I have no objections either, but are we asking about their view about CWG/CCWG implementation or some other, extra implementation timelines on top of that?

Narelle Clark: (01:13) Finally with audio here. Won't be able to speak.

Jennifer Chung: (01:15) @Mary - your mike has been enabled

Lynn St.Amour: (01:16) @Jari, to be clear, I was thinking about ICANN specific and not OC or CWG/CCW timelines.

Keith ccNSO: (01:16) Heard you this time Wolf-Ulrich

Xiaodong Lee: (01:16) I also support to send to ICANN

Lynn St.Amour: (01:18) I would rather be more inclusive - and we can make it clear the request is for ICANN specific considerations SHOULD there be any not able to be covered in the OC, etc, responses

Lynn St.Amour: (01:18) +1 to Paul and Joe

Lars-Johan Liman (RSSAC): (01:18) +1 from me too, in the interest of transparency. If nothing else, it's polite to ask ICANN too.

Jari Arkko: (01:20) +1 to what Lynn said

Lynn St.Amour: (01:22) @Alissa, support

Manal Ismail (GAC): (01:22) no objection ..

RussMundy: (01:22) I support Alissa's approach

Kuo Wu: (01:22) Thanks. We will do our best to provide useful information to ICG base on your letter.

Narelle Clark: (01:22) @Alissa no objections

Keith ccNSO: (01:22) yes

Kuo Wu: (01:23) I will write my comment here

Kuo Wu: (01:23) Since I have audio problem.

Kuo Wu: (01:23) Just as I said, Thanks for your discussion.

Lynn St.Amour: (01:23) Yes

Kuo Wu: (01:23) ICANN will do our best to provide useful information to ICG base on the letter

Keith ccNSO: (01:23) yes

Narelle Clark: (01:25) Please expand the timeline graphic if possible.

Kuo Wu: (01:25) Narelle+1

Jennifer Chung: (01:26) @All - it is now unsynced if you would like to zoom in further

Paul Wilson: (01:28) Thanks Alissa, no further comments from me.

Kuo Wu: (01:28) Please let us know the timeline and schedule you like ICANN responding to the letter you will send to us?

Lynn St.Amour: (01:28) I said in email: I think the timetable is probably the best we can estimate at the moment, and I like the goal of being complete for Dublin. At the same time though, I wonder about the aggregated impacts of summer breaks (in the northern hemisphere), and comments from other ICG members would be helpful in judging whether this is a serious concern. Having said all this, I like the forcing factor of the Dublin ICANN meeting, as well as your approach of explaining contingencies when we respond to NTIA and could support this.

Keith ccNSO: (01:29) I still think the Names community is a bit ambitious in seeking to make this timeline, and I think if the Accountability track is to go through robust consultation, it is likely that the Names proposal will not be fully finalised with consensus from the community until ICANN Dublin or shortly after

Lynn St.Amour: (01:30) note: I am in hotel on spotty network, so audio not likely to be great, hence my chat comments

Russ Housley: (01:30) I understand the points raised by Lynn, and the schedule is aggressive, but it is the only way I see to get it done by the end of the year

elise gerich (epg): (01:31) If T= the date of receipt from CWG, is it T + 2 weeks for ICG to assess the CWG proposal?

Lynn St.Amour: (01:33) @Russ, +1

Keith ccNSO: (01:33) Oh those lucky people about to have summer and holidays - its the start of winter here

Xiaodong Lee: (01:34) the timeline is very tight and we should make sure that every milestone need to be finished on time

Keith ccNSO: (01:34) Russ - I think your estimate is spot on

Alissa Cooper: (01:34) @Elise, roughly, yes

elise gerich (epg): (01:35) @Alissa, thanks. so will the revised version of this timeline replace the dates on the topline with the T + N weeks for each milestone?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:38) In order to structure the public comments we could prepare a "comment sheet" similar as CWG and CCWG did

Mary Uduma: (01:38) +1 @Wolf

Keith ccNSO: (01:40) +1 Joe !

Lynn St.Amour: (01:40) agree Joe, good points

Manal Ismail (GAC): (01:41) +1 Joe

Mohamed El Bashir: (01:41) +1 Joe

Mary Uduma: (01:42) Agree with Joe

Xiaodong Lee: (01:44) Joe, +1

Jari Arkko: (01:47) i wouldn't like to have multiple timelines, but we could add text to the timeline chart that says additional events (complex comments, changes during the process) may result in additional seteps, such as second comment period

RussMundy: (01:49) Jari +1 for using text instead of multiple timelines

Russ Housley: (01:49) The ICG has always been an optimistic one. Let's just say that on the chart somewhere

Narelle Clark: (01:50) Someone could do a risk analysis

Jari Arkko: (01:51) i'll also note that the timeline currently doesn't show as much parallelism as there could be. for instance, I believe we will need to write some substantial text as a cover note/preface to the three proposals. But that can actually begin before October

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:51) @Russ: we're all optimistic re the transition..

Narelle Clark: (01:52) @Jari I agree. Work in parallel that gets reviewed before publication is needed.

Jari Arkko: (01:52) +1 to Joe - that is what I meant

Russ Housley: (01:52) @Wolf-Ulrich: I meant that the ICG timeline has always been an optimistic schedule

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:53) ok, we should be realistic

Jari Arkko: (01:54) FYI I will be on phone only from now on as I have to be somewhere else by car soon. I'll use audio to ask for hand up if needed.

Russ Housley: (01:54) @Xiaodong: Isn't the orange bar at the top asking for the NTIA review?

Narelle Clark: (01:55) @RussH I've always wanted that orange bar removed as it is not the case.

Narelle Clark: (01:55) NTIA will only review the things they receive.

Narelle Clark: (01:55) @Alissa - NTIA has interacted, but they can't assess any incomplete proposals.

Xiaodong Lee: (01:56) @Russ, but we don't know when exact moment that NTIA will give response

Lynn St.Amour: (01:56) @Narelle, NTIA follows all this closely, and if they have serious reservations, I suspect they would become known :-)

Narelle Clark: (01:56) Also the 'testing' bar is hardly validation of the proposal as a whole.

Xiaodong Lee: (01:56) @Narelle, but NTIA always show up when they think it is necessary to give some words on transition

Manal Ismail (GAC): (01:57) I think we're speaking about 2 different things NTIA review of the proposal vs. discussion with NTIA on the timeline and whether this is the response they are looking for ..

Narelle Clark: (01:57) @Lynn agree, however they want a formal submission for a formal contract termination. Everything else is 'enhanced speculation'

Ning: (01:57) @Manal +1

Lynn St.Amour: (01:58) @Narelle: yup!

Narelle Clark: (01:58) ...or guidance.

Lynn St.Amour: (01:58) good call, thank you.

Narelle Clark: (01:59) Thanks everyone. Well done as usual Alissa.

elise gerich (epg): (01:59) good bye

Russ Housley: (01:59) Thanks

Narelle Clark: (01:59) bye

Mohamed El Bashir: (01:59) bye everyone

Xiaodong Lee: (01:59) thanks

Mary Uduma: (01:59) Thanks All and bye

Keith ccNSO: (01:59) Thanks Alissa

Kuo Wu: (01:59) Thanks, bye

Manal Ismail (GAC): (01:59) Thanks everyone .. Bye ..

RussMundy: (01:59) tks - bye

Jennifer Chung: (02:00) Thank you all, ICG Call 17 is now closed.